



COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST

For projects over \$500,000

Project Name: AAC 15th Ave W/NW & Ballard Bridge Deck			
Project Developer: Caylen Beat	у	Phone Number: 684-0299	
	oject Roadmap for instructions):		
made will contribute to a s contribute approximately 2024. Through the Compl	reserve and extend the life of one of Seattle's rafer space for all road users. The approximate 6.7 lane-miles to our Levy goal to pave up to ete Streets process, there may be opportuniting connect neighborhood residents to transit.	tely 1.1-mile, multi-lane corridor will 180-lane miles of arterial streets by	
W Emerson St and NW 57th St repairs and the installation of a	of three primary paving components: a mill and overly replacement of the asphalt on the bridge's approach waterproof membrane), and replacement of select colluled to begin construction in Q3 of 2023 and is targe	structures (which may include bridge deck ncrete panels on the ramps connecting to NW	
Project Extent: 15th Ave N/NV	V and Ballard Bridge Deck between W Emerson St to	NW 57th St	
Project Budget and Funding Sc	ource(s) (List modal plans if applicable):		
SDOT Roadway Structures: \$3 : SSRP (sidewalk scope funded by Surface Transportation Block Contained Highway Freight Prog Total: \$16.2 million	y SSRP): \$500k Frant Program (STP) Puget Sound Regional Council (ram (NHFP): \$5M (for Construction)		
If grant funded, list timeline:	PSRC funds must be obligated by June of 2023 and	NHFP funds by June of 2024. Construction is	



Purpose of the Complete Streets Checklist

Seattle's Complete Streets policy is about creating and maintaining safe streets for everyone. In 2007, the Seattle City Council passed Ordinance 122386, known as the Complete Streets ordinance, which directs Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) to design streets for people who walk, bike, ride transit and people of all ages and abilities while promoting safe operation for all users, including cars and freight. This is the lens through which SDOT views all of our projects.

SDOT uses a rigorous, data-driven process to develop complete streets. Streets Illustrated provides the roadmap of how SDOT administers the Complete Streets program. The Complete Streets checklist is the tool SDOT uses to collect data and information about the status of the street and surroundings, as well as the details of the project during a project's complete streets assessment in the early stages of the 0-30% design phase, with a goal of identifying specific improvements that can be incorporated into the project to balance the needs of all users.

Complete Streets Review Story Map - Getting Started

Data pertaining to questions in this checklist can be found in the <u>Complete Streets Review Story Map</u>. To use the map you need to know the following:

Using your mouse wheel or the zoom controls at the top left corner of the map, zoom to your project area. As you will notice, zooming in makes new data appear on the map. Alternatively, you can use the search tool (magnifying glass button) to type in an address for the location you are looking for. For the most accurate results, include both the city (Seattle) and the state (WA) after the address.

Once you have reached an acceptable scale, begin by clicking on step #3 (Arterial Classification and Street Type), and click on the following numbered tabs to see just the relevant data for each topic. Each map will preserve the scale of the previous map.

Within each map you can click on any of the features to get whatever information is associated with that layer. Because these maps include data with the same shapes, you may need to zoom in or out to see other available layers.

Summary:

• Some data layers will only display at a distinct scale, you will need to zoom in or out on the maps to find and view all the layers you need for each topic.

Questions or comments about the checklist template?

Please email Gabriel Seo (gabriel.seo@seattle.gov) for more information.



Project Coordination

1. Review <u>DOTMaps</u> , the <u>Complete Streets Review Story</u> <u>Map</u> and associated links. Are there any opportunities to	Describe final decision:
coordinate with relevant City projects/initiatives within the 📝 Yes 🔲 No project area?	
Discuss coordination opportunities and list contact information:	
Project ID: 46379 - Route 44 TPMC: Transit speed and reliability improvements along Route 44 btwn Ballard & UW. Intersects with project at 15th & NW Market. Work should be completed by AAC construction start. (2.7.2022 - 2.9.2023)	
Project ID: 45309 - Route 40 TPMC: Transit priority and speed improvement projects along Route 40 (12.26.2023 - 12.31.2024)	
Project ID: XXXXX - Market and 15th Signal Project (date - date)	
Project ID: 50171 - BSR Phase III: Seismic retrofits to the bascule span of the Ballard Bridge (9.3.21 - 1.17.2024)	
Project ID: 47456 - NW Market AAC: Paving and maintenance project along NW Market St between 24th and 15th Avenues NW (Currently on hold. Scheduled: 10.01.2021-1.06.2023)	
Project ID: 45238 - Ballard Multimodal Corridor/Burke-Gilman Missing Link	
2. Are there any opportunities to coordinate with relevant active private development within the project area? ✓ Yes ✓ No	
Discuss private development coordination opportunities and contact information:	
5020 15th Ave NW (Project #3034310-LU): Application has been approved to build a 5-story office building with 15 parking stalls at the corner of 15th Ave NW and NW 51st St (LU permit expires 02.12.24)	
1511 NW 51st St (Project #3032912-LU): Permits issued for a 5-story congregate residence (Pod Apartment) just to the west of 15th Ave NW (LU permit expires 12.22.23)	
1544 NW 52nd St (6722930-CN): 69-unit, 8-story residential building with 0 parking units. Construction permits issued: (9.02.21 - 03.02.23)	
1446 NW 53rd St (6691152-CN): 55-unit, 7-story residential building building on the NE corner of 15th & 53rd. (11.08.21 - 5.08.23)	



4 Street Classifica	ation & Type				
Arterial Classification:	Principal	☐ Minor	Collector		
	Non-Arterial	Boulevard	SFD Non-Arteria	ıl	
If project area has multip	ole arterial classifications,	, describe:			
15th Ave W/NW is a Prin	icipal Arterial from the sou	ith project limit near W	V Emerson St to the nortl	h project limit at NW 57th St.	
Street Types:			Ot	her Facilities:	
Alley		☐ Neighborhood	Yield	Trails	
☐ Downtown		Parks Bouleva	rd	Unopened Right of Wa	
☐ Downtown N	leighborhood	Urban Center	Connector	■ Non-SDOT Property	
☐ Downtown Neighborhood Access ☐ Urban Village Main					
🚺 Industrial Ad	✓ Industrial Access ☐ Urban Village Neighborhood				
☐ Minor Industrial Access ☐ Urban Village Neighborhood Access					
☐ Neighborho	od Corridor				
If project area has multi	ple street types, please lis	st which segments per	r type:		
-15th Ave W (W Emerson	n St - NW 46th St): Industr	rial Access Street			
-15th Ave NW (NW 46th	St to NW 57th): Urban Vi	illage Main			
ROW Width:				_	
Emerson St to Ballard Bri St: 30'; NW 53rd - NW 57	~	orth end Ballard Br: 94'	; Ballard Bridge - NW 50	Oth St: 60'; NW 50th St - NW 53rd	
Describe relevant standa	rds from <u>Streets Illustrat</u>	ed and any deviations	you'll be requesting:		
usually adjacent to, or loc Public programming is lin facilities if outlined in the Traveling north, as the br the project area. Urban V residents and workers wit movement of people and	ated in, industrial zones are mited on these streets gene BMP, though consultation idge touches down at NW illage Main Streets are the ch daily essentials and visite	nd are designed to acco crallyand the Bridge p n with freight is essenti- 50th St, 15th Ave NW spines of Seattle's urba ors a range of services. Center or Village, the d	ommodate large volumes oarticularly. Industrial Ac al. becomes an Urban Villag on villages and centers (ou While Urban Village Ma design of these streets enc	of traffic, particularly freight. Excess does not preclude bike ge Main Street until the end of utside of Downtown) providing ain Streets must accommodate the courages slower speeds and	



Safety & Channelization

1.	Posted Speed:	30 MPF	Ŧ	
2.	85th percentile speed (if avail	able):	43 MP	Н
	Location, date collected:	15th Av	e W and W Dr	avus St
	a. Is the 85th percentile over pos	sted spe	ed?	Yes No
	b. Are there high collision loca project area?	ations in	the	Yes No
	c. Are there Bicycle and Pede Analysis priority locations in		•	Yes No
	d. Does the frequent Transit N the project area?	etwork	or RapidRide n	etwork operate in
	If Yes to a, b, or c contact Vision mendations. If yes to d. contact			9
4.	a. Average Weekday Traffic (A	.WDT):	52,559	
	Location, date collected:		Ballard Bridge	e (south end),
	c. Average Weekday Traffic (A	<u>.WDT)</u> :	33,089	
	Location, date collected:		15th, N/O NV	V Market St, 12-16-21
	b. Average Weekday Traffic (A	WDT):	37,250	
	Location, date collected:		15th, N/O 53r	·d, 12-14-21
5.	Does the project area have 4 or	r more la	anes?	Yes No
6. If AWDT is less than 25K and lane configuration includes 4 or more through lanes, contact Traffic Operations for review for potential rechannelization. If along RapidRide (existing or future), Priority Bus Network, or Frequent Transit Network include Transit and Mobility in these discussions.				
Should rechannelization be considered in the project scope?				
Rechannelization is not being considered with this project. AWDT is over 25k and this is a Major Transit street, an Over Legal route, and on the Major Freight network. While the Ballard Bridge is on the 2014 Bicycle Master Plan Recommended Bike Network, it is not on the 2019-2024 Planned Bicycle Master Plan Implementation Plan.				

Describe recommendations:

Recommendations from Vision Zero (Chris Svolopolous, per email received 10.14.21) -15th & 54th closing the pedestrian crossing here and officially restricting ped crossings with R9-3BL or R9-3BR signs on the east/west approaches. -5th & 56th might also warrant closing the ped crossing and sending them to Market. -Provided recommendations for the Leary Way and 15th Ave NW intersection. Recommendation from TOD (Oli Frenchowicz, per email on 10.15.21) -In order to connect pedestrian facilities on either side of 15th Ave NW along the project extents and provide ADA accessibility to our infrastructure, the project shall: •Provide ADA compliant ramps for crossings at all legs •Provide openings in the C-Curb (or median treatment) to allow pedestrians to cross (where applicable) oMin. 8' openings. 10' Preferred

Describe final decision:

Upon completion of the paving/panel replacement process, the project will restripe the roadway to match its current channelization.

The project will close the crossing at 15th Ave NW and NW 50th St and install appropriate signage. The project will maintain the legal crossings of 15th Ave at NW 54th St and NW 56th St and will ensure gaps in the c-curb are sized correctly and line up with curb ramps.



_	
6	Pavement Condition

 Is the Pavement Condition Index 65 or below at	Please provide planning level cost estimates for recommendations:
2. Describe any visible areas of disrepair in the roadway:	
There is visible disrepair and cracking along the project area. -15th Ave W from W Emerson St to NW 46th St has a PCI of 28. -The NB Ballard Bridge off-ramp has a PCI of 47. -The SB Ballard Bridge on-ramp has a PCI of 6. -15th Ave NW from NW 46th to NW 50th has a PCI of 0. -15th Ave NW from NW 50th to NW 51st has a PCI of 44. -15th Ave NW from NW 51st to NW 52nd has a PCI of 48. -15th Ave NW from NW 52nd to NW 53rd has a PCI of 49.	Describe final decision:
15th Ava NIMI from NIMI 53rd to NIMI 57th bas a DCI of 57	See Pavement Report. The core project scope
4. Describe any areaways in the project area:	is to pave through the project limits.
There are no known areaways in the project area.	
Describe recommendations:	,
See recommendations in the project's Pavement Report.	



Flex Lane / Curbspac	ce
----------------------	----

1.	Will project change existing flex lane use(s)? ☐ Yes ✓ No	Describe recommendations for flo	ex lane:
	If No, skip to #7. If known, describe proposed changes:	Recommendation from Curbside Management (Mary-Catherine Sny email on 11.17.21). -No coordination needed with Cur Brian H is working on a curbspace	rbspace.
2.	Describe existing flex zone use(s) (e.g., loading zones) in project area:	study south of the project area.	on street
	15th Ave NW is a 6-lane high-speed arterial with minimal to no flex or loading zones along the project extent. There is a two-way center turn lane that extends north from NW 56th St to well outside of the project area		
3.	Describe adjacent land use(s) that utilize the flex lane:		
	The ROW extends through the Ballard Hub Urban Village as well as the Ballard Interbay Northend Manufacturing and Industrial Center. The southern portion of the project area near W Emerson and W Nickerson is zoned IG2 U/45; from		
	Residential Commercial + Mixed Use Industrial		
4.	Describe <u>ROW Allocation Framework</u> prioritized functions for the flex lane for specified land use(s) <u>in your project area</u> :		
- 1	1. Modal Priority	Describe final decisions:	
- 1	2. Access for Commerce	This project will not change the ex	isting flex
- 1	3. Access for People	lane or have parking impacts.	Ü
	4. Activation		
- 1	5. Greening		
	6. Storage		
5.	What is the utilization of existing parking (e.g., peak parking occupancy)?		
	There is currently no parking along 15th Ave W/NW within the project area.		
	There is parking along the Ballard Bridge off-ramps		
6.	How can flex lane functions be met nearby or off-street?		
	Existing flex lane functions will continue to be met nearby or offstreet		
7.	Will any existing accessible parking spaces be impacted? ☐ Yes ✓ No		
8.	How many accessible on-street parking spaces is your project required to install? [per Streets Illustrated section 3.13]		
	There is currently no parking nor plans to add parking to this stretch of 15th Ave NW. Therefore, the project is neither removing nor installing parking, zero		
	on street spaces are required		



8 Signals & Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)

Does the project include or impact traffic signals that are on the left-turn	Describe recommendations:
Signal List, the High Priority (new) Signal List, or the Major Maintenance (rebuild) List? No	Per email with Venu Nemani 10.23.21: - Reference the BIRT for action items along the corridor - Consult Chris Eaves for freight considerations in the area
 Is a full signal warranted in the project area?	As part of the complete streets process, the project team reached out to TOD regarding priority signal projects in the area. The Project Team met with Tom L and Wassim K to discuss 15th and Market Signal coordination: - Opportunities to coordinate with installation of curb ramps, APS, signal
the worst 10% of all signals?	detection loops, mast arm relocation - Details likely to be finalized at 60/90 percent design
	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
4. Is the project on the ITS Key Arterial Network?	
15th Ave W/NW is on the ITS Key Arterial Network from W Emerson St to NW	Describe final decisions:
57th St.	- BIRT planning study was used to inform scope of the project, see Traffic Analysis Report for more details - Project team consulted with Chris Eaves both early on as part of the Complete Streets process as well as again closer to 30% submission - Project Team will continue to coordinate with 15th and Market Signal Project to finalize scope additions



Pedestrian Infrastructure

1.	Is sidewalk repair needed in the project area? If yes, contact the Sidewalk Safety Repair Program	✓ Yes	No	Describe recommendations:
2.	(SSRP) Manager. Will sidewalk repair impact trees? If yes, summarize recommendations from Urban Forestry	✓ Yes ⁄:	☐ No	No PMP recommendations (David Burgesser per email received 10.12.21 and Kadie Bell-Sata per email received 10.21.21).
	Sidewalk repair work will impact some trees. Project team is SSRP and UF to identify sidewalk scope and UF scope to increase. Scope will be included in the project's 30% plans. U around 90 trees in the project area, 70+ that need tree pits to or modified in some way, 4 that would need to be removed.	clude in tl F identific o be expar	nis ed	They also noted the area scores low on the PMP and is likely to not be a priority in the immediate future. ADA recommendations (Nathalie Salazar, per email received 10.14.21):
3.	Are there missing sidewalks in the project area? If yes, contact the PMP Implementation Coordinator	Yes	✓ No	"Unfortunately, we do not have any locations here that overlap with the project area (APS,
4.	Are there missing curb ramps or tactile pads in the project area? If yes, contact ADA Program Manager	✓ Yes	No	CSR's or Transition Plan)I included the planned curb ramp map so that you can see other projects that may be planning on
5.	Are there Accessible Pedestrian Signal requests in the project area? If yes, contact ADA Program Manager	Yes	✓ No	installing curb ramps along that corridor"
6.	Is the project within a 20mph school zone or at a school crosswalk? If yes, contact SRTS Program Manager	✓ Yes	☐ No	SSRP (Stuart Vitagliano) provided detailed scope recommendations via email on 12.1.21
7.	Are there tier 1 or tier 2 signalized intersections in the project area? If yes, contact Pedestrian Crossing Lead	Yes	✓ No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
8.	Are there tier 1 or tier 2 unsignalized intersections in the project area? If yes, contact Pedestrian Crossing Lead	Yes	✓ No	
	Describe tier 1 and tier 2 signalized & unsignalized recommendations:			Describe final decisions: Project will upgrade triggered ramps to meet ADA standards and requirements. The
	There are no tier 1 or tier 2 signalized or unsignalized inters the project area.	sections w	ithin	project will sawcut, bevel, and repair sidewalks as identified and funded by the Sidewalk Safety Repair Program. See planset for further detail
9.	Describe any adverse impacts to pedestrian travel trigger ect (e.g., removal of a pedestrian buffer):	ed by you	r proj-	
	Short term street and sidewalk closures will occur during the construction phase	e project's	3	



Bicycle Infrastructure

1.	Does the project area contain locations on the Recommended Bicycle Network?	✓ Yes	☐ No
2.	Is there an existing bike facility? If yes, list street segments:	✓ Yes	☐ No
tu	nere is a signed bike route extending across the Ballard Br rning west at NW Ballard Way. The Ship Canal Trail runs d of the Ballard Bridge and parallel to W Emerson St.	U	U
3.	Do facilities in the project area meet the existing Bike Master Plan (BMP) designation?	Yes	✓ No
	If existing facilities do not meet BMP designation, review for bicycle design guidance and consult with BMP Coordi opportunity to upgrade the facilities.		
4.	Describe any adverse impacts to bicycle travel trigger (e.g., bike lane closure during construction, pavement etc):		
Co	onstruction could temporarily impact bicycle travel in the	project v	icinity.

Describe recommendations:

Describe recommendations.
BMP recommendations (Monica Dewald,
per email received 10.11.21):
-No recommendations as the Burke Gilman
Trail Missing Link project is still on hold
-Consult with Summer Jawson of NGW for
greenway crossings
-May be something in past Ballard Bridge
studies, coordinate with its authors (Serena
Lehman, per email received 10.19.21)
NGW recommendations (Summer Jawson,
per email received 10.18.21):
-NGW has no planned projects, recently
finished a signal at 15th & 53rd
Please provide planning level cost estimates:

Describe final decision:

The project team met with the SDOT Bicycle Master Plan team and other relevant planning study teams (such as the 2020 Ballard Bridge Planning Study and the 2020 Ballard-Interbay Regional Transportation System (BIRT) study) to discuss recommended bicycle infrastructure on the Ballard Bridge. After review, it was determined that there were no near-term improvements that could be added to the scope of a maintenance project. Additionally, based on these conversations and reviewing the available funding that we have for this paving project, this project is not planning to add additional bike facilities to the Ballard Bridge. The project team is working to identify and include pedestrian and bicycle safety improvement scope within this project, such as new curb ramps, sidewalk repair work, and improvements around trees along the corridor.



1 Transit Infrastructure

1.	Is there a bus route/bus stop/bus layover within the Yes No	Describe recommendations:
	project area?	Transit and Mobility Recommendations
		(Christine Alar, per meeting on 11.15.21):
	If Yes, describe and consult Streets Illustrated for transit design standards. List them here.	-Add concrete bus pads near the Leary Way
_		and Market St bus stops (4 total)
	e project area features both traditional bus stops and RapidRide stops, design	-Maintain a 6 inch curb height to ensure
1	nsiderations are below.	proper deployment of ADA ramps off bus -Recommended to coordinate with Metro
	ransit Stop: A Transit Stop typically has a curb height at the head of the transit	early in the planning process
	ne of less than or equal to 6 inches, level with the standard curb height in the	earry in the planning process
2.	Is there a RapidRide (existing or future), Priority Bus Network, or Frequent Bus Network route within the	King County Metro Recommendations
	project area?	(Luka Ukrainzyk, per meeting on 8.31.21):
		>Be aware of catenary wires along Market St
	If Yes, describe which bus routes and type of	will require close coordination with Metro
	overlap. Consult Transit Master Plan for investment recommendations. List recommendations here and	>The corridor features many bus routes
	consult with the Transit and Mobility group.	including RapidRide which means there is
<u></u>		TSP infrastructure in the area. Be aware as
	pidRide D Line runs the length 15th Ave NW and the Ballard Bridge	crews work in cabinets and on poles
	oute 40 travels below 15th Ave NW at NW Leary Way oute 44 intersects 15th Ave NW at NW Market (has OCS lines)	
1	outes 31 and 32 use the approaches on the southern end of the ship canal	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
	utes 31 and 32 use the approaches on the southern that of the simple anal	
3.	Is there overhead catenary wire for trolley buses within Yes No the project area?	
	Is a change to channelization proposed with this	Describe final decision:
	project? Yes V No	The project will install 4 new concrete bus
		pads at two KC Metro bus stop pairs along
	If Yes to either of the above, describe and consult with	the corridor: 15th & Leary, 15th & Market.
	the Transit and Mobility group who will connect you with the appropriate Metro contact, if necessary.	Additionally the project will make sure all
	the appropriate Metro Contact, if necessary.	curbs at bus stops meet ADA requirements
4.	Are there transit stops in the project area more than Yes V No	and lane-widths meet transit requirements.
	500 ft from a controlled crossing in the project area?	Project staff will work closely with King
	Is there an opportunity to consolidate bus stops? List	County Metro throughout the design and
	recommendations here and consult with the Transit and Mobility group who will connect you with the appropriate	construction process to minimize impacts to transit and transit riders.
	Metro contact, if necessary.	transit and transit riders.
_		
5.	Describe any adverse impacts to transit operations triggered by your project (e.g., any anticipated operational impacts to bus travel times,	
	rechannelization, bus stop impacts etc.)	
Nο	long term negative impacts anticipated for transit. Project will need to	
	ordinate extensively with King County Metro on detours during construction.	



12 Freight Infrastructure

1.	Is the project on the Recommended Freight Network?) [7] Yas	∏ No	Describe recommendations:
1.	Major Truck Street	ss Street		Freight recommendations (Chris Eaves TOD/Freight, per email received 10.11.21): -Coordinate with project team for 15th & Market signal improvements -Maintain communication through development and construction to ensure
2.	Does project area meet <u>curb radius</u> and <u>clearance standards</u> ?	✓ Yes	☐ No	access for over-legal loads and seagoing vessels
3.	Are there identified freight projects in project area? [Freight Master Plan [FMP]]	✓ Yes	□No	-Ensure project team is in regular communication with freight/industrial groups in the area
4.	Is this project in the <u>downtown traffic control zone</u> ?	Yes	☑ No	Project will need to consider freight turning movements, particularly during the construction phase.
				Please provide planning level cost estimates
				Describe final decision: The project will maintain proper lane widtl for both traditional freight and over-legal loads. The Project Team has, and will continue, to communicate regularly with the NSIA/BIA throughout the planning, design
				and construction process. Construction will be staged in such a way that a minimum amount of lanes remain open, ensuring freight movements can continue with minimal interruption.



13 Urban Forestry

1.	Describe any existing <u>urban forestry</u> assets within the project limits that			Describe recommendations:
	need to be protected during construction: There are many SDOT and privately owned trees in the prolarger area's tree coverage is the lowest in north Seattle at 25	•	The	Urban Forestry Recommendations (per meeting with Shane Dewald 12.13.2021): Provided assessment of trees and tree related infrastructure in the project area, providing detailed recommendations for tree retention and removal as well as pits that could be expanded
2.	Are there Heritage Trees in the project area?	Yes	✓ No	
3.	Does your project propose planting trees or expanding the ground plane landscape?	✓ Yes	☐ No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
4.	Will there be ground cover that requires maintenance or pruning?	Yes	✓ No	
5.	Will sidewalk infrastructure be impacted [e.g. narrowing of sidewalks, sawcuting etc.]? If yes, contact the Sidewalk Safety Repair Program [SSRP] Manager and summarize recommendations from SSRP: Project team is working with SSRP and UF to identify sidew scope to include in this project. Scope will be included in the plans.	-		Describe final decision: The project team will work in tandem with Urban Forestry and the Sidewalk Safety Repair Program to coordinate the expansion of tree pits. As identified by Urban Forestry, the project will remove 4 trees found to be in poor health. Following SDOT's 2:1 planting policy, the project will plant 8 trees in locations identified by Urban Forestry and the project engineer. The project will also replace monolithic curbs as required during the paving process.



4 Urban Design and Planning

1.	Is there a <u>Street Design Concept Plan</u> for the project area?	Yes Yes	✓ No	Describe recommendations:
	died.			Initial recommendations from Urban Design
2.	List any plan(s) that overlap with project area (and			(Gabriel Seo, per email received 10.25.21):
	relevant plan boundaries):			-Determine whether the project qualifies
NT.	one			under 1% for the arts, i.e. maintenance vs
ING	one			capital project
				-Incorporate age-friendly design elements
				wherever possible, e.g. benches, lighting,
				handrails, longer pedestrian signal timing, curb ramps/bulbs
				-Options for crossing 15th Ave at the south
				end of the Ballard bridge around W
3.	Have other urban design or transportation plans		—	Emerson St and W Nickerson St is currently
٥.	been completed, or are draft plans in progress,	🗸 Yes	☐ No	very challenging and unsafe. This
	within project area (including plans from other			intersection may need attention to address
	City departments)?			the crossing safety issues for both people
				walking and biking.
4.	Is there an opportunity to add pedestrian lighting in the	Yes	√ No	
	project area?			Final recommendations from UD (Gabriel
5.	Is your project within the Age-Friendly Prioritization	√ Yes	☐ No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
	Area?		_	
	If yes, please contact Urban Design Program			
	Coordinator, Policy and Planning to discuss			
	opportunities for incorporating Age-Friendly Street			
	Design elements.			Describe final decision:
				Project Team determined that AAC projects
	The Age-Friendly Street Design elements may include:			(maintenance) do not contribute to 1% for
	• Coating			the arts. Project team will work closely with
	SeatingPublic Toilets			TOD and SDOT's signal team to ensure that
	Hill Climb Assists			curb radii are appropriate for a Major Truck
	Weather Protection			Street and pedestrian timing allows for
	 Wayfinding 			people of all ages and abilities to cross
	Transit Amenities			comfortably.
6.	Is your project likely to include any departure from	☐ Yes	√ No	
	Streets Illustrated design standards and/or Best	_	<u> </u>	
	Management Practice e.g. two-way PBLs, interim			
	design treatments - flexible delineators and paint			
	striping for bike lanes, curb bulbs, alternative sidewalk			
	designs, etc.?			
	If yes, please contact Urban Design Program			
	Coordinator, Policy and Planning.			



On-Site Stormwater Management

1.	Does your project create or replace 2,000 SF of hard surface, or disturbing 7,000 SF of land?	✓ Yes	□No	Describe recommendations:
	If yes to either, do an early draft of drainage memo to better understand requirements			Refer to project's drainage memo
lf	no, skip to item 3.			
2.	Have the minimum requirements of the 2016 Stormwater Code been evaluated?	✓ Yes	□No	
	i. Is this project in an area identified as suitable for infiltrating GSI approaches (per SPU GIS data), including permeable pavement options?	✓ Yes	□No	
	ii. Does project area require infiltration investigation?If investigation has been done, include findings in description of BMPs below	Yes	✓ No	
	iii. Are there opportunities in the project limits to accomodate On-Site Stormwater Mangement BMPs?	Yes	✓ No	
	iv. Is there an opportunity to remove impervious surface as part of this project in accordance with the 2013 Executive Order which urges all City departments to incorporate natural drainage features into capital projects?	✓ Yes	□No	Please provide planning level cost estimates:
P	Please describe opportunities:			Describe final decision:
T	ree pit expansion			
P	Please provide rough cost estimates:			
N	Negligible			
3.	Is this project on a street identified as potentially eligible for SPU partnership opportunities (per SPU GIS data)?	Yes	√ No	



•	_	_	
Λ	ı	•	٦
_	ı		ч

Consult with SDOT Arts & Enhancements Project Manager to complete this section.

Seattle was one of the first cities in the United States to adopt a percentfor-art ordinance in 1973. The program specifies that 1% of eligible city capital improvement project funds be set aside for the commission, purchase and installation of artworks in a variety of settings.

- Is there an opportunity for a 1% Percent for Art funded public art project(s) in the project area?
- 2. Consult the <u>SDOT Art Plan</u>. Is there an opportunity to implement <u>SDOT Art Plan</u> toolbox elements (e.g. signal box art, sidewalk inlays, creative street furniture or bollards or planters, creative bicycle racks, etc.) in the project area?

Contact: Kristen Ramirez

Email: kristen.ramirez@seattle.gov

Phone: (206) 615-1095

Prepare the following information:

- 1. Name of Program (official CIP name)
- 2. Approximate project scope & budget
- 3. Timing/schedule
- 4. Whether there is space for art in the project area

Describe Public Art or SDOT Art Plan opportunities:

oject is classified as a maintenance nd therefore does not contribute to
for Art fund.

☐ Yes No

Yes V No



Based on the initial project information provided, the above noted Complete Streets elements are recommended to be incorporated into the project scope. The program owners and subject matter experts (collectively the Complete Streets Checklist Reviewers), who provided input through the Complete Streets Checklist process, will collectively make final decisions regarding project scope, based on these preliminary Complete Streets recommendations. If at any time, resolution between the team members cannot be reached regarding a scope item or additional department wide policy guidance is needed, the project should present the issue to the Complete Streets Steering Committee (CSSC).

In addition to these broad preliminary scope recommendations, ongoing urban design review is required for 30%, 60%, and 90% design drawings to review consistency with these preliminary recommendations, as well as ongoing design details and urban design opportunities. To the greatest extent possible, all major scope recommendations will be made during the Project Definition phase.

Should any scope changes be proposed post the Project Definition phase, the Project Manager is to inform the Complete Streets Checklist Reviewers (or CSSC if applicable) and obtain consensus for the revised scope. The Complete Streets Checklist and Project Definition Memo will need to be updated accordingly.

Project Developer_	Caylen Beaty	08/08/2022
	name (please print)	date
Caylen Beaty aylen Beaty (Aug 8, 2022 11:04 PDT)		
signature		
Project Manager	Jenny King	08/08/2022
	name (please print)	date
JENNY KING Jenny King (Aug 8, 2022 10:16 PDT)		
signature		